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June 8, 2021

Amy Blum  
Managing Campus Counsel

Kent Wada  
Director, Policy and Governance & UCLA Chief Privacy Officer

Re: UCLA Policy 410: Access Without Consent to Electronic Communications Records

Dear Amy Blum and Kent Wada,

At its meeting on June 3, 2021, the Executive Board review the proposed revisions to the UCLA Policy 410: Access without Consent to Electronic Communications Records. Members voted to accept the proposal as written.

Sincerely,

Shane White  
Chair  
UCLA Academic Senate

Cc: Michael Beck, Administrative Vice Chancellor  
Gene Block, Chancellor  
Emily Carter, Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost  
Susan Cochran, Committee on Data, Information Technology and Privacy Chair, UCLA Academic Senate  
April de Stefano, Executive Director, UCLA Academic Senate  
Monroe Gorden, Vice Chancellor, Student Affairs  
Jody Kreiman, Vice Chair/Chair Elect, UCLA Academic Senate  
Michael Levine, Vice Chancellor, Academic Personnel  
John Mazzotta, Vice Chancellor, UCLA Health  
Michael Meranze, Immediate Past Chair, UCLA Academic Senate
Revision of UCLA Policy 410

Summary of Proposed Changes — March 3, 2021

UCLA Policy 410 implements the provisions for Access without Consent to Electronic Communications Records of the 2005 UC Electronic Communications Policy (ECP). Policy 410 has not been updated since it was first adopted in August 2010.

The changes being proposed are needed to align with current systemwide and UCLA practice in the interpretation of the ECP and with current legal need. All changes remain consistent with the ECP itself. All provisions of the ECP not addressed by Policy 410 will continue to be governed directly by the ECP, campus practice since 2010 when Policy 410 was first adopted.

This document summarizes the proposed changes to Policy 410. Campus feedback is being sought from key stakeholders and through a thirty-day public review as per UCLA Policy 100, Administrative Policies & Procedures.

The Request Form in Attachment A has been revised to track these changes.
1. Summary of Changes

2. “Access without Consent” (AWOC)
Consistent with systemwide usage, “nonconsensual access to electronic communications records” is now referred to as “access without consent to electronic communications records” (including in the title of this Policy).

3. Issuing Officer
The Issuing Officer for this Policy has been changed from the Vice Provost, IT to the Chief Compliance and Audit Officer.

4. Scope of records subject to access without consent (Section I)
A sentence has been added to clarify applicability, consistent with the defined terms of Electronic Communications Policy (which depend upon UC RMP8, Legal Requirements on Privacy of and Access to Information, now rescinded).

5. Application to separated employees (Section III)
When a faculty or staff employee separates from the University of California, they are no longer considered the “holder” of electronic communications records as defined by the ECP. Thus, no consent or authorization for access without consent is needed to access a separated employee’s electronic communications records. This is a longstanding interpretation by the Office of General Counsel that has been UCLA practice for more than five years. This change captures practice as a policy statement. Of note, any access is still constrained by least perusal of contents and least action necessary to resolve the situation.
This does not apply to UCLA Emeritus faculty and Emeritus staff, as they remain holders of their electronic communications records.
This also does not apply pursuant to an agreement for continuing provision of an Electronic Communications Services (e.g., a faculty member retains a departmental email account to continue an existing project after moving to another institution, or if a faculty or staff member remains eligible for a Bruin Online email account after separation).

6. Access by auditors (Section VI.A and Section VI, Reference 4)
Acknowledgement of the authority of UC and State of California auditors is more explicitly referenced.
7. Authorizing and advisory signatures (Section IV.A.1 and Table 1)

The Chancellor and the Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost may, at their discretion, authorize access without requiring advisory signatures other than that of Campus Counsel / Health Legal Affairs. This change is primarily to ensure a method of complying with the ECP’s requirements when external (legal or regulatory) obligations limit UCLA's ability to involve others.

The signature of Campus Counsel / Health Legal Affairs remains advisory in the access without consent process, but is now required, not optional, to better track the ECP’s requirements.

The advisory signature of human resources (AVC–CHR and Sr Assoc Director, Medical Center Human Resources) is no longer on the access without consent form.

8. Investigations (Section IV.A.4)

Request for access without consent for purposes of an investigation, by specific offices authorized to conduct investigations, are now recognized as a distinct category of request. This is a practical acknowledgement that requests for investigations are on behalf of the office rather than an individual. Otherwise, the process is the same and ultimately the authorization of a vice chancellor is still required.

9. Recourse (Section IV.D)

Recourse now points to existing campus grievance processes rather than a different process just for this Policy.

10. Preservation of evidence (Section V)

Preservation of evidence occurs only at the direction of Campus Counsel or Health Legal Affairs, as appropriate, mirroring actual authority and practice (generally with respect to e-discovery).

2. In the current policy, a dean or department / unit head could request authorization to preserve evidence, which was never used.

Consent or authorization for access without consent is no longer needed to preserve evidence. If examination or disclosure of the preserved evidence is needed, consent or authorization for access without consent is required.
3. The current policy requires consent or authorization for access without consent both at time of preservation and a second time if the preserved evidence is to be examined or disclosed. This is out of alignment with systemwide practice and now a routine cause for legal risk.

11. UCLA Emeritus (Attachment B)

“UCLA Emeritus” is a new term defined, for the purposes of Policy 410, as “a retired UCLA Employee, either member of the faculty or staff, upon whom has been conferred formal emeriti status.” This allows recognition of Emeritus Staff, about which the current Policy is silent.

12. Violations of criminal law

The provision defining the role of the UCLA Chief of Police in this policy has been removed. Law enforcement requirements are addressed through appropriate existing channels, so this provision was unused in practice.
ATTACHMENT A. PURPOSE & SCOPE

This Policy implements the provisions of the University of California Electronic Communications Policy (ECP) relevant to nonconsensual access (hereafter referred to as “access without consent”) to University Electronic Communications Records. This Policy, consistent with the ECP, also addresses Electronic Communications Records for preservation of evidence and investigations.

This Policy applies to all Holders of Electronic Communications Records about or relating to University activities.

ATTACHMENT B. DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this Policy:

See Definitions

ATTACHMENT C. POLICY STATEMENT

The University must obtain a Holder’s consent prior to any access to Electronic Communications Records in the Holder’s Possession for the purposes of examination or disclosure of University Electronic Communications Records, except under circumstances as outlined in and in accord with Section Access without Consent of this Policy.

University employees must comply with University requests for access to Electronic Communications Records in their Possession that pertain to the activities of the University, or whose disclosure is required to comply with applicable laws.

Except for UCLA Emeritus faculty and UCLA Emeritus staff, and Registered Students, or unless pursuant to an agreement for continuing provision of an Electronic Communications Service (including eligibility for Bruin OnLine services), employees who have separated from the University of California are no longer the Holders of Electronic Communications Records. The University can access these Records without consent and without following the provisions of Section Access without Consent from the point of separation. Nevertheless, when access to such Records is needed, least perusal of contents and the least action necessary to resolve the situation must still be employed.

Providers of University Electronic Communications Systems and Services must ensure that their operational practices and terms of service are consistent with this Policy, and that their users are made aware of these terms prior to use.

Unit heads must ensure that their local practices regarding Electronic Communications Records are consistent with this Policy, and that users are made aware of these practices as part of the onboarding process. Guidance can be found in the Electronic Communications Policy, Attachment 2 (Implementation Guidelines), Section III.B.5 on Access to University Administrative Records.
ATTACHMENT D. ACCESS WITHOUT CONSENT

The University can access Electronic Communications Records without the consent of the Holder for the purposes of examination or disclosure of the contents only when:

(i) required by and consistent with law;

(ii) there is Substantiated Reason to believe that violations of law or of University policies listed in the ECP Appendix C, Policies Relating to Access Without Consent have taken place;

(iii) there are Compelling Circumstances; or

(iv) under Time-dependent, Critical Operational Circumstances.

Part I. Authorization

When under the circumstances described above and in accordance with the appropriate procedure outlined below, the contents of Electronic Communications Records can be accessed, examined or disclosed without the Holder’s consent.

Prior Authorization (Section Prior Authorization)

Emergency Circumstances (Section Emergency Circumstances)

Search Warrants and Subpoenas (Section Search Warrants and Subpoenas)

Preservation of Evidence (Section Preservation of Evidence)

California State and Internal Audit (Reference References. On March 18, 2004 the Regents Committee on Audit approved changes to the Internal Audit Management Charter authorizing Internal Audit to have access to University information except where prohibited by law. [http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/regmeet/mar04.html])

Prior Authorization

UCLA employees or investigative offices may request access without consent by completing Request Form for Authorization to Access Electronic Communications Records without Consent, Request Form for Authorization to Access Electronic Communications Records (“Request Form”) in advance of access. The UCLA Authorizing Official is the official responsible for authorizing or denying the access request, in writing. Any authorization will be limited to the least perusal of contents and the least action necessary to resolve the situation.

The appropriate UCLA Authorizing Official depends upon the status of the affected Holder (see Table 1 below). Prior to approving or not approving the request, the UCLA Authorizing Official will seek the advice of the following officials and all such advice will be given in a timely manner.

- Department Head or Unit Head, to ensure the request is appropriate and related to University activities;
- Campus Counsel (if a campus matter) or UCLA Health Legal Affairs (if a Health Sciences matter) because of changing interpretations by the courts of laws affecting the privacy of Electronic Communications, and because of potential conflicts among different applicable laws;
- Chair of the UCLA Academic Senate, when a request involves Electronic Communications Records held by Faculty or Emeritus Faculty, will attach written advice separately from the Request Form; and
- Campus Chief Privacy Officer (if a campus matter) or UCLA Health Chief Privacy Officer (if a Health Sciences matter), on limiting authorization to the least perusal and the least action necessary to resolve the situation.
Table 1. UCLA Authorizing Officials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Holder’s Status is:</th>
<th>The UCLA Authorizing Official is:</th>
<th>The UCLA Authorizing Official is advised by:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Faculty, Emeritus Faculty | Vice Chancellor, Academic Personnel | • Department or Unit Head  
• Campus Counsel or UCLA Health Legal Affairs  
• Campus or UCLA Health Chief Privacy Officer  
• Chair, Academic Senate |
| Student (Not in a capacity as a Staff Employee) | Vice Chancellor, Student Affairs | • Campus Counsel or UCLA Health Legal Affairs  
• Campus or UCLA Health Chief Privacy Officer |
| Staff Employee (Non-UCLA Health), Student in this capacity, or Emeritus Staff | Administrative Vice Chancellor | • Department or Unit Head  
• Campus Counsel  
• Campus Chief Privacy Officer |
| UCLA Health Staff Employee or Student in this capacity | President of UCLA Health; CEO of UCLA Hospital System; and Associate Vice Chancellor of UCLA Health Sciences | • Department or Unit Head  
• UCLA Health Legal Affairs  
• UCLA Health Chief Privacy Officer |
| | Vice Chancellor, UCLA Health Sciences; and CEO of UCLA Health | |
| Any | Chancellor | • Campus Counsel or UCLA Health Legal Affairs |
| Any | Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost | • Campus Counsel or UCLA Health Legal Affairs |

The Chancellor or the Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost may act in place of any of the UCLA Authorizing Officials in Table 1, regardless of the affected Holder’s status. A UCLA Authorizing Official will recuse themselves in the event of a conflict of interest. The authority of UCLA Authorizing Officials may not be delegated.

Authorization is given to the individual UCLA employee named in Request Form for Authorization to Access Electronic Communications Records, unless this request is from an investigative office (Section Investigations), in which case authorization is for that office.

Emergency Circumstances

In Emergency Circumstances, access without consent may be taken immediately without prior authorization, but appropriate authorization must then be sought without delay following the procedures described above. The least perusal of contents and the least action necessary is required to resolve the emergency.

If the action taken is not subsequently authorized, the Electronic Communications Holder may seek recourse (Section UCLA Recourse).

Search Warrants and Subpoenas

Search warrants and subpoenas are not subject to Sections Authorization, Prior Authorization, Emergency Circumstances, Investigations, Compliance with Law or UCLA Recourse. Search warrants and subpoenas for Electronic Communications Records will be referred to Campus Counsel or designated campus officials.

Search Warrants. Duly signed search warrants will be processed in accordance with federal and state laws, University policies, and instructions in the warrant.
Subpoenas. Subpoenas will be processed in accordance with applicable federal and state laws and University policies (see UCLA Procedure 120.1, Producing Records Under Subpoena Duces Tecum and Deposition Subpoenas).

Campus officials will provide advance notice to individuals whose records are the subject of a subpoena or warrant, except where a court order prohibits such notice.

Investigations

The following investigative offices act as the requestor for access without consent in Request Form for Authorization to Access Electronic Communications Records without Consent:

- ADA/504 Compliance
- Civil Rights Office
- Discrimination Prevention
- Title IX
- Staff, Diversity & AA/EEO Compliance
- UCLA Compliance
- UCLA Health Compliance Services
- Human Resources
- Campus Human Resources
- Human Resources (UCLA Health)
- Locally Designated Official
- Research Policy and Compliance
- Student Conduct

Part II. Notification

The campus or UCLA Health Chief Privacy Officer, as appropriate, will at the earliest opportunity that is lawful and consistent with other University policies notify the affected individual of the action(s) taken and the reasons for the action(s) taken. Campus Counsel or UCLA Health Legal Affairs, as appropriate, will advise if notification should not occur.

Each campus will issue, in a manner consistent with law, an annual report summarizing instances of authorized or Emergency Circumstances access without consent pursuant to the provisions of this section, without revealing personally identifiable data. The campus Chief Privacy Officer shall tabulate this data for the campus and provide the report as required by the ECP.

Part III. Compliance with Law

Actions taken under this Policy, including access to Electronic Communications Records residing on computers not owned or housed by the University, shall be in full compliance with the law and other applicable University policies, including laws and policies listed in ECP Appendix B, References. Advice of counsel must always be sought prior to any action involving Electronic Communications Records.

Should any requirement of this Policy be in conflict with legal requirements, Campus Counsel or UCLA Health Legal Affairs, as appropriate, in consultation with other campus offices or officials as appropriate, shall make a recommendation to the Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost or to the Chancellor about resolving the conflict.
Part IV. UCLA Recourse

A UCLA Electronic Communications Holder who believes that an action taken under Section Prior Authorization or Emergency Circumstances by employees or agents of the University was in violation of this Policy may file a complaint with []

ATTACHMENT E. PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE

Consent is not required to carry out the University’s duty to preserve evidence. However, evidence subject to this Policy remains subject to this Policy when preserved. Thus any examination or disclosure of such preserved evidence requires the Holder’s prior consent as required by Section Policy Statement, Policy Statement, or the procedure in Section Access without Consent, Access without Consent.

Preservation applies to all University Electronic Communications Services, including but not limited to departmental services, Enterprise Messaging, MedNet, Bruin OnLine, and Google Apps for UCLA.

Actual preservation shall be coordinated through the campus e-discovery Coordinator, or UCLA Health e-discovery Coordinator, as appropriate, to ensure preservation meets chain of custody and other legal requirements, and to ensure that preserved evidence is securely stored. Evidence so preserved may be exempted from the encryption requirements of UCLA Policy 404, Encryption of Electronically Stored Personal Information.

Preserved evidence will be released by the campus e-discovery Coordinator or UCLA Health e-discovery Coordinator in accordance with preserved evidence disposition protocols.

ATTACHMENT F. REFERENCES

Attachment G. University of California Electronic Communications Policy (ECP)
Attachment H. UCLA Procedure 120.1, Producing Records Under Subpoena Duces Tecum and Deposition Subpoenas
Attachment I. UC Whistleblower Policy
Attachment J. On March 18, 2004 the Regents Committee on Audit approved changes to the Internal Audit Management Charter authorizing Internal Audit to have access to University information except where prohibited by law. [http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/regmeet/mar04.html]

ATTACHMENT K. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment L. Request Form for Authorization to Access Electronic Communications Records.
Attachment M. Definitions

Issuing Officer

/s/

Chief Compliance and Audit Officer

DRAFT — 3/3/2021
Questions concerning this Policy should be referred to the

Responsible Department listed at the top of this document
Attachment N. Request Form for Authorization to Access Electronic Communications Records without Consent

**HOW TO USE THIS FORM**

For information about the use of this form, see UCLA Policy 410: Access without Consent to Electronic Communications Records.

**Instructions to the UCLA Employee or Investigative Unit (“Requestor”) to request authorization**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requestor</td>
<td>a.i.1. Fill out Parts Request Details and Explanation of Need (Confidential) of this form.</td>
<td>Guidance may be obtained from Campus Counsel, UCLA Health Legal Affairs, or the campus or UCLA Health Chief Privacy Officers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a.i.2. Obtain the signature of the Electronic Communications Holder’s department / unit head on Signatures of the form.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a.i.3. Take this form to Campus Counsel (for campus matters) or Health Legal Affairs (for Health Sciences matters), for their signature.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Counsel or UCLA Health Legal Affairs</td>
<td>a.i.4. Campus Counsel or Health Legal Affairs will obtain the remaining signatures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus or UCLA Health Chief Privacy Officer</td>
<td>a.i.5. The Chief Privacy Officer will remove Explanation of Need (Confidential) of this form and send a copy of Request and Signatures to the Requestor.</td>
<td>The original completed form will be retained by the appropriate Chief Privacy Officer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**If access is authorized by the UCLA Authorizing Official**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requestor or representative of the investigative office (UCLA Policy 410, Section Investigations)</td>
<td>a.i.6. Present Parts Request and Signatures to the appropriate technical administrator who can provide access to the records requested.</td>
<td>Any access authorized is limited to the least perusal of contents and the least action necessary to resolve the matter. Any accessed content must be safeguarded as per UC IS3 Electronic Information Security. Individuals who access this content are not permitted to disclose or otherwise use what they have observed if not germane to the authorized purpose. However, if improper governmental activity (including violations of law or University policy) is inadvertently discovered or suspected during access, reporting of such violations shall be consistent with the UC Whistleblower Policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Note: These two Parts of the form are expected to exist on a single page in printed form.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requestor</td>
<td>a.i.7. The requestor will work with the campus or UCLA Health Chief Privacy Officer, as appropriate, to provide notification to affected individual(s) as required by UCLA Policy 410,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART I. REQUEST DETAILS

INFORMATION ABOUT THE REQUEST

Who is making this request?

Name __________________________________________
Title __________________________________________
Department/Unit __________________________________________
Date of Request __________________________________________

What is the basis for this request?

ECP provisions under which Records are being sought (check all that apply)

☐ Required by and consistent with law
☐ Reasonable belief of violation of law or UC Policy
☐ Compelling Circumstances
☐ Time-dependent, critical operational circumstances

Reason(s) why Holder’s consent cannot be obtained (check all that apply)

☐ Holder has denied a request to allow access
☐ Absence, illness, or death precludes requesting Holder’s consent
☐ Compelling Circumstances preclude requesting the Holder’s consent

Timing of request (check one)

☐ Request prior to access as required by the UC Electronic Communications Policy
☐ Request after access under Emergency Circumstances (see UCLA Policy 410, Section Emergency Circumstances)

Are the Records being sought from evidence previously preserved under UCLA Policy 410, Section Preservation of Evidence?

☐ Yes
☐ No

RECORDS SOUGHT

Who is the Holder of the Electronic Communications Record(s) being sought?

Name __________________________________________
Department/Unit __________________________________________

Detailed description of what Electronic Communications Records are being sought

(Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)
## PART I. SIGNATURES

### REQUIRED SIGNATURES (SEE UCLA POLICY 410, TABLE 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does:</th>
<th>Recommend access without consent?</th>
<th>Sign and date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Department / unit head of Electronic Communications Holder</td>
<td>☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ N/A</td>
<td>Signature  Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Counsel</td>
<td>☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ N/A</td>
<td>Signature  Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Chief Privacy Officer</td>
<td>☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ N/A</td>
<td>Signature  Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Chair, Academic Senate</td>
<td>☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ N/A</td>
<td>Signature  Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL’S SIGNATURE (SEE UCLA POLICY 410, TABLE 1)

Is access without consent authorized?

☐ Yes — Authorization is limited to the least perusal of contents and the least action necessary to resolve the situation.

☐ No

Signature of UCLA Authorizing Official — check corresponding box below  Date

☐ Administrative Vice Chancellor  ☐ Chancellor

☐ Vice Chancellor, Academic Personnel  ☐ Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost

☐ Vice Chancellor, Student Affairs

☐ Vice Chancellor, UCLA Health Sciences; and CEO, UCLA Health

☐ Associate Vice Chancellor, UCLA Health Sciences; President, UCLA Health; and CEO, UCLA Hospital System

Attachment B: Definitions
PART II. EXPLANATION OF NEED (CONFIDENTIAL)

DETAILED EXPLANATION OF WHY ACCESS WITHOUT CONSENT IS DEEMED NECESSARY

____________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________________________

Attachment B: Definitions
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Attachment O. Definitions

Compelling Circumstances are circumstances in which failure to act might result in significant bodily harm, significant property loss or damage, loss of significant evidence of one or more violations of law or of University policies listed in ECP Appendix C, Policies Relating to Access Without Consent, or significant liability to the University or to members of the University community.

Electronic Communications means any transfer of signals, writings, images, sounds, data or intelligence that is, created, sent, forwarded, replied to, transmitted, distributed, broadcast, stored, held, copied, downloaded, displayed, viewed, read, or printed by one or several Electronic Communications Systems. For purposes of this Policy, an electronic file that has not been transmitted is not an Electronic Communication.

Electronic Communications Records are the contents of Electronic Communications created, sent, forwarded, replied to, transmitted, distributed, broadcast, stored, held, copied, downloaded, displayed, viewed, read, or printed by one or several Electronic Communications Systems or Services. This definition of Electronic Communications Records applies equally to attachments to such records and Transactional Information associated with such records.

Electronic Communications Resources are telecommunications equipment, transmission devices, electronic video and audio equipment, encoding or decoding equipment, computers and computer time, data processing or storage systems, computer systems, servers, networks, input/output and connecting devices, and related computer records, programs, software, and documentation that supports Electronic Communications Services.

Electronic Communications Service Provider means any unit, organization, or staff with responsibility for managing the operation of and controlling individual user access to any part of the University's electronic communications systems and services.

Electronic Communications Systems or Services are any messaging, collaboration, publishing, broadcast, or distribution system that depends on Electronic Communications Resources to create, send, forward, reply to, transmit, distribute, broadcast, store, hold, copy, download, display, view, read, or print electronic records for purposes of communication across Electronic Communications network systems between or among individuals or groups, that is either explicitly denoted as a system for Electronic Communications or is implicitly used for such purposes.

Emergency Circumstances means circumstances in which time is of the essence and there is a high probability that delaying action would almost certainly result in Compelling Circumstances.

Faculty means a member of the academic community defined as Faculty by Academic Personnel Manual, APM 110-4 (15).

Holder of an Electronic Communications Record or Electronic Communications Holder (Holder) means an Electronic Communications user who, at a given point in time, is in Possession (see definition below) or receipt of a particular Electronic Communications Record, whether or not that Electronic Communications user is the original creator or a recipient of the content of the record.

Except for UCLA Emeritus Faculty and UCLA Emeritus Staff, once an employee separates from the University (s)he is no longer the Holder of the electronic communication records (Section Policy Statement. Except for UCLA Emeritus faculty and UCLA Emeritus staff, and Registered Students, or unless pursuant to an agreement for continuing provision of an Electronic Communications Service (including eligibility for Bruin OnLine services), employees who have separated from the University of California are no longer the Holders of Electronic Communications Records. The University can access these Records without consent and without following the provisions of Section Access without Consent from the point of separation. Nevertheless, when access to such Records is needed, least perusal of contents and the least action necessary to resolve the situation must still be employed.). For students who also are employees, if they use an email account supplied by the University, once they separate from employment, the student maintains Holder status of the account while they are a registered student.
Possession of Electronic Communications Record means an individual is in Possession of an Electronic Communications Record, whether the original record or a copy or modification of the original record, when that individual has effective control over the location of its storage or access to its content. Thus, an Electronic Communications Record that resides on an Electronic Communications server awaiting download to an addressee is deemed, for purposes of this Policy, to be in the Possession of that addressee. Systems administrators and other operators of University Electronic Communications Services are excluded from this definition of Possession with regard to Electronic Communications not specifically created by or addressed to them.

Electronic Communications users are not responsible for Electronic Communications Records in their possession when they have no knowledge of the existence or contents of such records.

Public Record means a record as defined in Business and Finance Bulletin RMP-8, Legal Requirements on Privacy of and Access to Information, and/or the California Public Records Act. Public records include writings or other forms of recording that contain information relating to the conduct of the public's business in materials prepared, owned, used, or retained by the University regardless of physical form or characteristics [California Government Code Section 6252(e)]. Except for certain defined situations, such records are subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. For more information regarding the requirements of the Public Records Act, and the University’s implementation of that Act, including exemptions from disclosure, see RMP-8.

Substantiated Reason means reliable evidence indicating that violation of law or of University policies listed in the ECP Appendix C, Policies Relating to Access Without Consent, probably has occurred, as distinguished from rumor, gossip, or other unreliable evidence.

Time-dependent, Critical Operational Circumstances means circumstances in which failure to act could seriously hamper the ability of the University to function administratively or to meet its teaching obligations, but excluding circumstances pertaining to personal or professional activities, or to faculty research or matters of shared governance.

Transactional Information is information, including electronically gathered information, needed either to complete or to identify an electronic communication. Examples include but are not limited to: electronic mail headers, summaries, addresses and addressees; records of telephone calls; and IP address logs.

UCLA Authorizing Official is the UCLA administrator identified in Table 1 (Section Prior Authorization) with the authority to approve access to a UCLA Electronic Communications user’s Electronic Communications Records without the consent of the user under certain circumstances.

UCLA Emeritus is a retired UCLA Employee, either member of the faculty or staff, upon whom has been conferred formal emeriti status.

UCLA Health includes Ronald Reagan and Santa Monica Medical Centers, Mattel’s Children’s Hospital, Resnick Neuropsychiatric Hospital, UCLA Health Clinics, UCLA Faculty Group and David Geffen School of Medicine.

University Electronic Communications Record refers to a Public Record in the form of an electronic communications record, whether or not any of the electronic communications resources utilized to create, send, forward, reply to, transmit, distribute, broadcast, store, hold, copy, download, display, view, read, or print the electronic communications record are owned by the University. This implies that the location of the record, or the location of its creation or use, does not change its nature (i) as a University Electronic Communications Record for purposes of this or other University policy, and (ii) as having potential for disclosure under the California Public Records Act.

- Until determined otherwise or unless it is clear from the context, any Electronic Communications Record residing on university-owned or controlled telecommunications, video, audio, and computing facilities will be deemed to be a University Electronic Communications Record for purposes of this Policy. This would include personal electronic communications. Consistent with the principles of least perusal and least action necessary and of legal compliance, the University must make a good faith a priori effort to distinguish University Electronic Communications Records from personal communications in situations...
relevant to disclosures under the California Public Records Act and other laws, or for other applicable provisions of this Policy.

**University Electronic Communications Systems or Services** are Electronic Communications Systems or Services owned or operated by the University or any of its sub-units or provided through contracts with the University.